

# Ανάκληση Πληροφορίας

## **Information Retrieval**

Διδάσκων -Δημήτριος Κατσαρός

Διάλεξη 3η: 19/02/2014



# Dictionary kai Postings



Τμ. HMMY, Πανεπιστή<del>μιο Θεσσαλί</del>

6

## Parsing a document

- What format is it in?
  - pdf/word/excel/html?
- What language is it in?
- What character set is in use?

Each of these is a classification problem, which we will study later in the course.

But these tasks are often done heuristically ...

## Complications: Format/language

- Documents being indexed can include docs from many different languages
  - A single index may have to contain terms of several languages.
- Sometimes a document or its components can contain multiple languages/formats
  - French email with a German pdf attachment.
- <u>What is a unit document</u>?
  - A file?
  - An email? (Perhaps one of many in an mbox.)
  - An email with 5 attachments?
  - A group of files (PPT or LaTeX in HTML)



# Tokenization



## Tokenization

- Input: "Friends, Romans and Countrymen"
- <u>Output</u>: Tokens
  - Friends
  - Romans
  - Countrymen
- Each such token is now a candidate for an index entry, after <u>further processing</u>
  - Described below
- But what are valid tokens to emit?



## Tokenization

- Issues in tokenization:
  - Finland's capital →
    Finland? Finlands? Finland's?
  - Hewlett-Packard  $\rightarrow$  Hewlett and Packard as two tokens?
    - *State-of-the-art*: break up hyphenated sequence.
    - co-education ?
    - the hold-him-back-and-drag-him-away-maneuver ?
    - It's effective to get the user to put in possible hyphens
  - *San Francisco*: one token or two? How do you decide it is one token?

## Numbers

- 3/12/91 Mar. 12, 1991
- 55 B.C.
- *B-52*
- My PGP key is 324a3df234cb23e
- 100.2.86.144
  - Often, don't index as text.
    - But often very useful: think about things like looking up error codes/stacktraces on the web
    - (One answer is using n-grams: Lecture 3)
  - Will often index "meta-data" separately
    - Creation date, format, etc.

# Tokenization: Language issues

- $L'ensemble \rightarrow$  one token or two?
  - L?L'?Le?
  - Want *l'ensemble* to match with *un ensemble*
- German noun compounds are not segmented
  - $\bullet \ \ Lebens versicher ung sgesellschaft sangestellter$
  - 'life insurance company employee'

# Tokenization: language issues

- Arabic (or Hebrew) is basically written right to left, but with certain items like numbers written left to right
- Words are separated, but letter forms within a word form complex ligatures
- استقلت الجزائر في سنة 1962 بعد 132 عاما من الاحتلال الفرنسي.

 $\leftarrow \rightarrow \leftarrow \rightarrow \leftarrow \text{start}$ 

- 'Algeria achieved its independence in 1962 after 132 years of French occupation.'
- With Unicode, the surface presentation is complex, but the stored form is straightforward



## Normalization

- Need to "normalize" terms in indexed text as well as query terms into the same form
  - We want to match **U.S.A.** and **USA**
- We most commonly implicitly define equivalence classes of terms
  - e.g., by deleting periods in a term
- Alternative is to do asymmetric expansion:
  - Enter: *window* Search: *window, windows*
  - Enter: windows Search: Windows, windows
  - Enter: Windows Search: Windows
- Potentially more powerful, but less efficient

## Normalization: other languages

- Accents: *résumé* vs. *resume*.
- Most important criterion:
  - How are your users like to write their queries for these words?
- Even in languages that standardly have accents, users often may not type them
- German: Tuebingen vs. Tübingen
  - Should be equivalent

# Normalization: other languages

• Need to "normalize" indexed text as well as query terms into the same form

### 7 30 vs. 7/30

- Character-level alphabet detection and conversion
  - Tokenization not separable from this.
  - Sometimes ambiguous:

Is this German "mit"?



## Case folding

- Reduce all letters to lower case
  - exception: upper case (in mid-sentence?)
    - e.g., *General Motors*
    - *Fed* vs. *fed*
    - SAIL vs. sail
  - Often best to lower case everything, since users will use lowercase regardless of 'correct' capitalization...



## Stop words

- With a stop list, you exclude from dictionary entirely the commonest words. Intuition:
  - They have little semantic content: *the*, *a*, *and*, *to*, *be*
  - They take a lot of space:  $\sim 30\%$  of postings for top 30
- But the trend is away from doing this:
  - Good compression techniques (lecture 5) means the space for including stopwords in a system is very small
  - Good query optimization techniques mean you pay little at query time for including stop words.
  - You need them for:
    - Phrase queries: "King of Denmark"
    - Various song titles, etc.: "Let it be", "To be or not to be"
    - "Relational" queries: "flights to London"

# Thesauri and soundex

- Handle synonyms and homonyms
  - Hand-constructed equivalence classes
    - e.g., *car = automobile*
    - color = colour
- Rewrite to form equivalence classes
- Index such equivalences
  - When the document contains *automobile*, index it under *car* as well (usually, also vice-versa)
- Or expand query?
  - When the query contains *automobile*, look under *car* as well

# Soundex

- Traditional class of heuristics to expand a query into phonetic equivalents
  - Language specific mainly for names
  - E.g., chebyshev  $\rightarrow$  tchebycheff
- More on this later ...

## Lemmatization

- Reduce inflectional/variant forms to base form
- E.g.,
  - am, are,  $is \rightarrow be$
  - car, cars, car's, cars'  $\rightarrow$  car
- the boy's cars are different colors  $\rightarrow$  the boy car be different color
- Lemmatization implies doing "proper" reduction to dictionary headword form



## Stemming

- Reduce terms to their "roots" before indexing
- "Stemming" suggest crude affix chopping
  - language dependent
  - e.g., *automate(s)*, *automatic*, *automation* all reduced to *automat*.

for example compressed and compression are both accepted as equivalent to compress. for exampl compress and compress ar both accept as equival to compress

# Porter's algorithm

- Commonest algorithm for stemming English
  - Results suggest at least as good as other stemming options
- Conventions + 5 phases of reductions
  - phases applied sequentially
  - each phase consists of a set of commands
  - sample convention: Of the rules in a compound command, select the one that applies to the longest suffix.

# Typical rules in Porter

- $sses \rightarrow ss$
- $ies \rightarrow i$
- $ational \rightarrow ate$
- $tional \rightarrow tion$
- Weight of word sensitive rules
- $(m>1) EMENT \rightarrow$ 
  - $\bullet \ replacement \rightarrow replac$
  - $\cdot$  cement  $\rightarrow$  cement



## Other stemmers

- Other stemmers exist, e.g., Lovins stemmer http://www.comp.lancs.ac.uk/computing/research/stemming/general/lovins.htm
  - Single-pass, longest suffix removal (about 250 rules)
  - Motivated by linguistics as well as IR
- Full morphological analysis at most modest benefits for retrieval
- Do stemming and other normalizations help?
  - Often very mixed results: really help recall for some queries but harm precision on others

# Language-specificity

- Many of the above features embody transformations that are
  - Language-specific and
  - Often, application-specific
- These are "plug-in" addenda to the indexing process
- Both open source and commercial plug-ins available for handling these



## Dictionary entries – first cut

